# ION SLIP EFFECT ON THE UNSTEADY FLOW OF A DUSTY CASSON FLUID THROUGH A CIRCULAR PIPE

### Hazem Ali Attia

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University (Al-Qasseem Branch), P.O. Box 237, Buraidah 81999, KSA e-mail: ah1113@yahoo.com

(Received August 3, 2005)

**ABSTRACT:** In this paper, the transient flow of a dusty viscous incompressible electrically conducting non-Newtonian Casson fluid through a circular pipe is studied taking the ion slip into consideration. A constant pressure gradient in the axial direction and a uniform magnetic field directed perpendicular to the flow direction are applied. The particle-phase is assumed to behave as a viscous fluid. A numerical solution for the governing equations is obtained using finite differences.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The flow of a dusty and electrically conducting fluid through a circular pipe in the presence of a transverse magnetic field has important applications such as magnetohydrodynamic generators, pumps, accelerators, and flowmeters. The performance and efficiency of these devices are influenced by the presence of suspended solid particles in the form of ash or soot as a result of the corrosion and wear activities and/or the combustion processes in MHD generators and plasma MHD accelerators. When the particle concentration becomes high, mutual particle interaction leads to higher particle-phase viscous stresses and can be accounted for by endowing the particle phase by the so-called particle-phase viscosity. There have been many articles dealing with theoretical modelling and experimental measurements of the particle-phase viscosity in a dusty fluid (Soo, 1969; Gidaspow et al., 1989; Grace, 1982; Sinclair and Jackson, 1989).

The flow of a conducting fluid in a circular pipe has been investigated by many authors (Gadiraju et al., 1992; Dube and Sharma, 1975; Ritter and Peddieson, 1977; Chamkha, 1994). (Gadiraju et al., 1992) investigated steady two-phase vertical flow in a pipe. (Dube and Sharma, 1975) and (Ritter and Peddieson, 1977) reported solutions for unsteady dusty-gas flow in a circular pipe in the absence of a magnetic field and particle-phase viscous stresses. (Chamkha, 1994) obtained exact solutions which generalize the results reported in (Dube and Sharma, 1975; Ritter and Peddieson, 1977) by the inclusion of the magnetic and particle-phase viscous effects. The heat transfer characteristics of circular pipe flow was

studied by many researchers (Yoon et al., 2002; Kim, 2002; Kim, 2003). It should be noted that in the above studies the Hall current as well as the ion slip effects are ignored. In fact, the Hall effect is important when the Hall parameter, which is the ratio between the electroncyclotron frequency and the electron-atom-collision frequency, is high. This happens when the magnetic field is high or when the collision frequency is low (Crammer and Pai, 1973; Sutton and Sherman, 1965). Furthermore, the masses of the ions and electrons are different and, in turn, their motions will be different. Usually, the diffusion velocity of electrons is larger than that of ions and, as a first approximation, the electric current density is determined mainly by the diffusion velocity of the electrons. However, when the electromagnetic force is very large (such as in the case of strong magnetic field), the diffusion velocity of the ions may not be negligible (Crammer and Pai, 1973; Sutton and Sherman, 1965). If we include the diffusion velocity of ions as well as that of electrons, we have the phenomena of ion slip. In the above mentioned work, the Hall and ion slip terms were ignored in applying Ohm's law, as they have no marked effect for small and moderate values of the magnetic field. However, the current trend for the application of magnetohydrodynamics is towards a strong magnetic field, so that the influence of the electromagnetic force is noticeable under these conditions, and the Hall current as well as the ion slip are important; they have a marked effect on the magnitude and direction of the current density and consequently on the magnetic-force term (Crammer and Pai, 1973; Sutton and Sherman, 1965).

A number of industrially important fluids such as molten plastics, polymers, pulps and foods exhibit non-Newtonian fluid behavior (Nakayama et al. 1988). Due to the growing use of these non-Newtonian materials, in various manufacturing and processing industries, considerable efforts have been directed towards understanding their flow characteristics. Many of the inelastic non-Newtonian fluids, encountered in chemical engineering processes, are known to follow the so-called "power-law model" in which the shear stress varies according to a power function of the strain rate (Metzner et al. 1965). It is of interest in this paper to study the influence of the magnetic field as well as the non-Newtonian fluid characteristics on the dusty fluid flow properties in situations where the particle-phase is considered dense enough to include the particulate viscous stresses.

In the present study, the unsteady flow of a dusty electrically conducting non-Newtonian Casson fluid through a circular pipe is investigated considering the ion slip. The carrier fluid is assumed viscous, incompressible and electrically conducting. The particle phase is assumed to be incompressible pressureless and electrically non-conducting. The flow in the pipe starts from rest through the application of a constant axial pressure gradient. The governing momentum equations for both the fluid and particle-phases are solved numerically using the finite difference approximations. The effect of the magnetic field, the Hall current, the ion slip and the particle-phase viscosity on the velocity distributions of the fluid and particle-phases is reported.

# 2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Consider the unsteady, laminar, axisymmetric horizontal flow of a dusty conducting fluid through an infinitely long pipe of radius d driven by a constant pressure gradient. A uniform magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the flow direction. The Hall current and the ion slip are taken into consideration and the magnetic Reynolds number is assumed to be very small and consequently the induced magnetic field is neglected (Crammer and Pai, 1973; Sutton and Sherman, 1965). We assume that both phases behave as viscous fluids and that the volume fraction of suspended particles is finite and constant (Chamkha, 1994). Taking

into account these and the previously mentioned assumptions, the governing momentum equations can be written as

$$\rho \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \mu r \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\rho_p \phi}{1 - \phi} N(V_p - V) - \frac{\sigma B_o^2 (1 + \beta_i \beta_e) V}{(1 + \beta_i \beta_e)^2 + \beta_e^2}$$
(1)

$$\rho_{p} \frac{\partial V_{p}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \mu_{p} r \frac{\partial V_{p}}{\partial r} \right) + \rho_{p} N(V - V_{p})$$
(2)

where t is the time, r is the distance in the radial direction, V is the fluid-phase velocity,  $V_p$  is the particle-phase velocity,  $\rho$  is the fluid-phase density,  $\rho_p$  is the particle-phase density,  $\partial P/\partial z$  is the fluid pressure gradient,  $\varphi$  is the particle-phase volume fraction, N is a momentum transfer coefficient (the reciprocal of the relaxation time, the time needed for the relative velocity between the phases to reduce  $e^{-1}$  of its original value (Chamkha 1994),  $\sigma$  is the fluid electrical conductivity,  $Be=\sigma\gamma B_o$  is the Hall parameter,  $\gamma$  is the Hall factor (Crammer and Pai, 1973; Sutton and Sherman, 1965),  $B_o$  is the magnetic induction, Bi is the ion slip parameter,  $\mu_p$  is the particle-phase viscosity which is assumed constant, and  $\mu$  is the apparent viscosity of the fluid which is given by,

$$\mu = \left(K_c + \sqrt{\frac{\tau_o}{\left|\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right|}}\right)^2$$

where  $K_c$  is the coefficient of viscosity of a Casson fluid,  $\tau_o$  is the yield stress, and  $\left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right|$  is the magnitude of the velocity gradient which is always positive regardless of the sign of  $\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}$ . In this work,  $\rho$ ,  $\rho_p$ ,  $\mu_p$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $B_o$  are all constant. It should be pointed out that the particle-phase pressure is assumed negligible and that the particles are being dragged along with the fluid-phase.

The initial and boundary conditions of the problem are given as

$$V(r,0) = 0, V_D(r,0) = 0,$$
 (3a)

$$\frac{\partial V(0,t)}{\partial r} = 0, \frac{\partial V_p(0,t)}{\partial r} = 0, V(d,t) = 0, V_p(d,t) = 0$$
(3b)

where d is the pipe radius.

Equations (1)-(3) constitute an initial-value problem which can be made dimensionless by introducing the following dimensionless variables and parameters

$$\bar{r} = \frac{r}{d}, \bar{t} = \frac{tK_c}{\rho d^2}, G_o = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial z}, k = \frac{\rho_p \phi}{\rho (1 - \phi)}, \bar{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{K_c}$$

$$\overline{V}(r,t) = \frac{K_c V(r,t)}{G_o d^2}, \overline{V}_p(r,t) = \frac{K_c V_p(r,t)}{G_o d^2},$$

 $\alpha = Nd^2 \rho / K_c$  is the inverse Stokes' number,

 $\beta = \mu_p / K_c$  is the viscosity ratio,

 $\tau_D = \tau_o / G_o d$  is the Casson number (dimensionless yield stress),

 $H_a = B_o d \sqrt{\sigma/K_c}$  is the Hartmann number (Sutton et al. 1965).

By introducing the above dimensionless variables and parameters as well as the expression of the fluid viscosity defined above, Eqs. (1)-(3) can be written as (the bars are dropped),

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = 1 + \sqrt{\mu} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\mu}{r} \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} + k\alpha (V_p - V) - \frac{H_a^2 (1 + \beta_i \beta_e) V}{(1 + \beta_i \beta_e)^2 + m^2} \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_p}{\partial t} = \beta \left( \frac{\partial^2 V_p}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial V_p}{\partial r} \right) + \alpha (V - V_p)$$
 (5)

$$\mu = \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{\tau_D}{\left|\frac{\partial V}{\partial r}\right|}}\right)^2$$

$$V(r,0) = 0, V_p(r,0) = 0,$$
 (6a)

$$\frac{\partial V(0,t)}{\partial r} = 0, \frac{\partial V_p(0,t)}{\partial r} = 0, V(1,t) = 0, V_p(1,t) = 0$$
(6b)

The volumetric flow rates and skin-friction coefficients for both the fluid and particle phases are defined, respectively, as (Chamkha, 1994)

$$Q = 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} rV(r,t)dr, Q_{p} = 2\pi \int_{0}^{1} rV_{p}(r,t)dr, C = -\frac{\partial V(1,t)}{\partial r}, C_{p} = -\beta k \frac{\partial V_{p}(1,t)}{\partial r}$$
(7)

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Equations (4) and (5) represent a coupled system of nonlinear partial differential equations which are solved numerically under the initial and boundary conditions (6), using

the finite difference approximations. A linearization technique is first applied to replace the nonlinear terms at a linear stage, with the corrections incorporated in subsequent iterative steps until convergence is reached. Then the Crank-Nicolson implicit method (Mitchell et al. 1980 and Evans et al. 2000) is used at two successive time levels. An iterative scheme is used to solve the linearized system of difference equations. The solution at a certain time step is chosen as an initial guess for next time step and the iterations are continued till convergence, within a prescribed accuracy. Finally, the resulting block tridiagonal system is solved using the generalized Thomas algorithm (Mitchell et al. 1980 and Evans et al. 2000). Computations have been made for  $\alpha=1$ ,  $\gamma=1$ , and k=10. Grid-independence studies show that the computational domain  $0 < t < \infty$  and  $0 < t < \infty$  and  $0 < t < \infty$  and be divided into intervals with step sizes  $\Delta t=0.0001$  and  $\Delta t=0.005$  for time and space respectively. It should be mentioned that the results obtained herein reduce to those reported by Dube et al. (1975) and Chamkha (1994) for the cases of non-magnetic, inviscid particle-phase (B=0), and Newtonian fluid. These comparisons lend confidence in the accuracy and correctness of the solutions.

Figures 1a and b present the time evolution of the velocity of the fluid V and dust particles  $V_p$  at the center of the pipe, respectively, for various values of the ion slip parameter Bi and the Hall parameter Be and for Ha=3,  $\tau_D=0$  and B=0.5. Both V and  $V_p$  increase with time and V reaches the steady state faster than  $V_p$  for all values of Be and Bi. It is clear from the figures that increasing Be or Bi increases both V and  $V_p$  while its effect on their steady state times can be neglected. This is due to the decrease in the effective conductivity which reduces the damping magnetic force on V. Figures 2a and b present the time evolution of the velocity of the fluid V and dust particles  $V_p$  at the center of the pipe, respectively, for various values of the ion slip parameter Bi and the Hall parameter Be and for Ha=3,  $\tau_D=0.05$  and B=0.5. It is clear from Figs. 1 and 2 that increasing  $\tau_D$  decreases V and  $V_p$  for all Be and Bi. Also, the effect of Be and Bi on both V and  $V_p$  becomes more pronounced for higher values of  $\tau_D$ .

Figures 3a and b present the time evolution of the velocity of the fluid V and dust particles  $V_p$  at the center of the pipe, respectively, for various values of the ion slip parameter Bi and the Hartmann number Ha and for Be=1,  $\tau_D=0$  and B=0.5. It is clear that increasing Ha decreases V and  $V_p$  and their steady state times for all values of Bi due to the increase in the damping magnetic force. The figures indicate also that the effect of Bi on V and  $V_p$  becomes more pronounced for higher values of Ha. Figures 4a and b present the time evolution of the velocity of the fluid V and dust particles  $V_p$  at the center of the pipe, respectively, for various values of the ion slip parameter Bi and the Hartmann number Ha and for Be=1,  $\tau_D=0.05$  and B=0.5. It is shown in these figures that increasing  $\tau_D$  decreases both V and  $V_p$  for all values of Bi and Ai.

Figures 5a and b present the time evolution of the velocity of the fluid V and dust particles  $V_p$  at the center of the pipe, respectively, for various values of the ion slip parameter Bi and the viscosity ratio B and for Ha=1, Be=1 and  $\tau_D=0$ . The figures indicate that increasing B decreases both V and  $V_p$  and their steady state times for all values of Bi. The effect of the parameter Bi on V and  $V_p$  is more apparent for higher values of the parameter B. Figures 6a and b present the time evolution of the velocity of the fluid V and dust particles  $V_p$  at the center of the pipe, respectively, for various values of the ion slip parameter Bi and the viscosity ratio Bi and for Ha=1, Be=1 and  $\tau_D=0.05$ . It is clear that increasing  $\tau_D$  decreases Vi and Vi and their steady state time for all values of Ii and Ii and Ii presents the steady state values of the fluid-phase volumetric flow rate Ii and the particle-phase skin friction coefficient Ii and Ii and

Table 2 presents the steady state values of the fluid-phase volumetric flow rate Q, the particle-phase volumetric flow rate  $Q_p$ , the fluid-phase skin friction coefficient C, and the particle-phase skin friction coefficient  $C_p$  for various values of the parameters Bi and Bi and for Ha=3, Be=1 and  $\tau_D=1.5$ . It is clear that, increasing Bi increases Q,  $Q_p$ , C, and  $C_p$  for all values of Bi and its effect becomes more pronounced for smaller values of Bi. Increasing the parameter Bi decreases the quantities Di, Di, and Di, but increases Di for all values of Di.

### 4. CONCLUSIONS

The transient MHD flow of a particulate suspension in an electrically conducting non-Newtonian Casson fluid through a circular pipe is studied considering the ion slip. The governing partial differential equations are solved numerically using finite differences. The effect of the magnetic field parameter, the Hall parameter, the ion slip parameter, the Casson number, and the particle-phase viscosity on the transient behavior of the velocity, volumetric flow rates, and skin friction coefficients of both fluid and particle-phases is studied. It is shown that increasing the magnetic field or the viscosity ratio decreases the fluid and particle velocities, while increasing the Hall parameter or the ion slip parameter increases both velocities. It is found that, the effect of the ion slip on the fluid and particle velocities is more apparent for higher values of the magnetic field, the Casson number or the viscosity ratio.

# References

- [1] Crammer, K.R. and Pai, S.-I., (1973): Magnetofluid dynamics for engineers and applied physicists; McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [2] Chamkha, A.J., (1994): Unsteady flow of a dusty conducting fluid through a pipe; Mechanics Research Communications, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 281-286.
- [3] Dube, S.N. and Sharma, C.L., (1975): A note on unsteady flow of a dusty viscous liquid in a circular pipe; J. Phys. Soc. Japan, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 298-310.
- [4] Evans, G.A., Blackledge, J.M. and Yardley, P.D., (2000): Numerical methods for partial differential equations; Springer Verlag, New York.
- [5] Gadiraju, M., Peddieson, J. and Munukutla, S., (1992): Exact solutions for two-phase vertical pipe flow; Mechanics Research Communications, Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 7-13.
- [6] Gidaspow, D., (1986): Hydrodynamics of fluidization and heat transfer: super computer modeling; Appl. Mech. Rev., Vol. 39, pp. 1-23.
- [7] Grace, J.R., (1982): Fluidized-Bed Hydrodynamic, Handbook of Multiphase Systems; G. Hetsoroni, Ed., Ch. 8.1, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [8] Kim, D., (2002): Improved convective heat transfer correlations for two-phase two-component pipe; KSME International Journal, Vol. 16, No. 3, pp. 403-422.
- [9] Kim, W.T., Hong, K.H., Jhon, M.S., VanOsdol, J.G., and Smith, D.H., (2003): Forced convection in a circular pipe with a partially filled porous medium; KSME International Journal, Vol. 17, No. 10, pp. 1583-1596.
- [10] Metzner, A.B., (1965): Heat Transfer in non-Newtonian fluid; Adv. Heat Transfer, vol. 2, pp. 357-397.

- [11] Mitchell A.R. and Griffiths, D.F., (1980): The finite difference method in partial differential equations; John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [12] Nakayama, A. and Koyama, H., (1988): An analysis for friction and heat transfer characteristics of power-law non-Newtonian fluid flows past bodies of arbitrary geometrical configuration; Warme-und Stoffubertragung, Vol. 22, pp. 29-37.
- [13] Ritter, J.M. and Peddieson, J., (1977): Transient two-phase flows in channels and circular pipes; Proc. 1977 the Sixth Canadian Congress of Applied Mechanics.
- [14] Sinclair, J.L. and Jackson, R., (1989): Gas-particle flow in a vertical pipe with particle-particle interactions; AICHE J., Vol. 35, pp. 1473-1486.
- [15] Soo, S.L., (1969): Pipe flow of suspensions; Appl. Sci. Res., Vol. 21, pp. 68-84.
- [16] Sutton, G.W. and Sherman, A., (1965): Engineering Magnetohydrodynamics, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [17] Yoon, S.H., Oh, Cheol, and Choi, J.H., (2002): A study of the heat transfer characteristics of a self-ocillating heat pipe; KSME International Journal, Vol. 16, No. 3, pp. 354-362.

Table 1 The steady state values of Q,  $Q_p$ , C,  $C_p$  for various values of Bi and n and for Ha=3, Be=1, B=0.5

$\tau_D = 0$	Bi=0	Bi=1	Bi=3
Q	0.1361	0.1429	0.1559
$Q_p$	0.0325	0.0342	0.0374
C	0.2395	0.2468	0.2606
$C_p$	0.1634	0.1715	0.1867
$\tau_D = 0.025$	Bi=0	Bi=1	Bi=3
Q	0.1297	0.1361	0.1482
$Q_p$	0.0309	0.0325	0.0356
C	0.2275	0.2344	0.2474
$C_p$	0.1557	0.1632	0.1774
$\tau_D = 0.05$	Bi=0	Bi=1	Bi=3
Q	0.1232	0.1291	0.1402
$Q_p$	0.0294	0.0309	0.0336
C	0.2154	0.2219	0.2339
$C_p$	0.1479	0.1549	0.1679

Table 2 The steady state values of Q,  $Q_p$ , C,  $C_p$  for various values of Bi and B and for Ha=3, Be=1,  $\tau_D=0.05$ 

B=0	Bi=0	<i>Bi</i> =1	<i>Bi</i> =3
Q	0.1672	0.1778	0.1986
$Q_p$	0.1299	0.1373	0.1517
C	0.2658	0.2770	0.2986
$C_p$	0	0	0
B=0.5	Bi=0	Bi=1	Bi=3
Q	0.1232	0.1291	0.1403
$Q_p$	0.0294	0.0309	0.0336
C	0.2154	0.2219	0.2339
$C_p$	0.1479	0.1549	0.1679
<i>B</i> =1	Bi=0	<i>Bi</i> =1	Bi=3
Q	0.1169	0.1222	0.1321
$Q_p$	0.0159	0.0164	0.0181
C	0.2093	0.2152	0.2260
$C_p$	0.1594	0.1666	0.1799