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#### Abstract

Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ be two graphs with disjoint vertex sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. Let $u_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $u_{2} \in V_{2}$. A splice of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ by vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}, \mathcal{S}\left(G_{1}, G_{2} ; u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$, is defined by identifying the vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in the union of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. In this paper we calculate the Szeged, edge-Szeged, $P I$, vertex-PI and eccentric connectivity indices of splice graphs.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph with $V$ and $E$ being its vertex and edge sets, respectively. Graph theory has successfully provided chemists with a variety of useful tools [2,5,7,8,15] , among which are the topological indices or molecular-graph-based structure descriptors [11-14, 23, 24]. In this paper we are concerned with five of these topological indices, that recently attracted much attention and found noteworthy chemical applications.

All graphs considered in this paper are assumed to be simple, undirected, without weighted edges, and connected.

The Szeged index of a graph $G$ is denoted by $S z(G)$ and defined as [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S z=S z(G)=\sum_{e=u v} n_{u}(e) n_{v}(e) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the sum is taken over all edges of $G$, and for a given edge $e=u v$, the quantity $n_{u}(e)$ denotes the number of vertices closer to $u$ than to $v$, and the quantity $n_{v}(e)$ is defined analogously. For more details on the Szeged index see the review [10] and the references cited therein.

Denote by $d_{G}(x, y)$ the distance ( $=$ number of edges in a shortest path) between the vertices $x$ and $y$ of the graph $G$. Then we can define the sets

$$
N(e, u, G)=\left\{x \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(x, u)<d_{G}(x, v)\right\}
$$

and

$$
N(e, v, G)=\left\{x \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(x, v)<d_{G}(x, u)\right\}
$$

by means of which we have:

$$
n_{u}(e)=n_{u}(e, G)=|N(e, u, G)| \quad \text { and } \quad n_{v}(e)=n_{v}(e, G)=|N(e, v, G)| .
$$

It is obvious that an end-vertex of any edge is closer to itself than to the other end-vertex of that edge. Therefore the product $n_{u}(e) n_{v}(e)$ is always positive.

The edge-Szeged index is obtained by replacing $n_{u}(e) n_{v}(e)$ in Eq. (1.1) by $m_{u}(e) m_{v}(e)$, where $m_{u}(e)$ is the number of edges in $G$ whose distance to vertex $u$ is smaller than the distance to vertex $v$, and $m_{v}(e)$ is defined analogously. Hence the edge version of the Szeged index is given by [9]

$$
S z_{e}=S z_{e}(G)=\sum_{e=u v} m_{u}(e) m_{v}(e)
$$

We recall that the distance between the edge $f=x y$ and the vertex $u$ in the graph $G$, denoted by $d_{G}(f, u)$, is define as $d_{G}(f, u)=\min \left\{d_{G}(x, u), d_{G}(y, u)\right\}$. We can now introduce the sets

$$
M(e, u, G)=\left\{f \in E(G) \mid d_{G}(f, u)<d_{G}(f, v)\right\}
$$

and

$$
M(e, v, G)=\left\{f \in E(G) \mid d_{G}(f, v)<d_{G}(f, u)\right\}
$$

by means of which we have:

$$
m_{u}(e)=m_{u}(e, G)=|M(e, u, G)| \quad \text { and } \quad m_{v}(e)=m_{v}(e, G)=|M(e, v, G)| .
$$

If instead of multiplicative contributions $n_{u}(e) n_{v}(e)$ and $m_{u}(e) m_{v}(e)$, we consider their additive versions, $n_{u}(e)+n_{v}(e)$ and $m_{u}(e)+m_{v}(e)$, then we obtain the vertexand the edge-PI indices, respectively. ${ }^{1}$

The edge-PI index is defined as [16]

$$
P I_{e}=P I_{e}(G)=\sum_{e=u v}\left[m_{u}(e)+m_{v}(e)\right] .
$$

Since this edge version was introduced first, the subscript $e$ is usually omitted and the index is referred to simply as $P I$ index. More details on the $P I$ index are found in the review [17] and the references cited therein.

The vertex-PI index seems to was first considered by Khalifeh et al. [18] and is defined as

$$
P I_{v}=P I_{v}(G)=\sum_{e=u v}\left[n_{u}(e)+n_{v}(e)\right] .
$$

The eccentric connectivity index of the graph $G$ is defined as [22]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ecc}=\operatorname{Ecc}(G)=\sum_{u \in V} \operatorname{deg}(u) \varepsilon(u) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for a given vertex $u$, its eccentricity $\varepsilon(u)$ is the greatest distance between $u$ and any other vertex of $G$. The maximum eccentricity over all vertices of $G$ is called the diameter of $G$ whereas the minimum eccentricity among the vertices of $G$ is the radius of $G$. The set of vertices whose eccentricity is equal to the radius of $G$ is called the center of $G$. It is well known that each tree has either one or two vertices in its center.

The aim of this article is to contribute to the theory of the above described five topological indices by showing how these can be computed in the case of splice graphs.

Suppose that $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ are two graphs with disjoint vertex sets. Let $u_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $u_{2} \in V_{2}$ be given vertices of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. Following

[^0]Došlić [4], a splice of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ at vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, denoted by $\mathcal{S}\left(G_{1}, G_{2} ; u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$, is obtained by identifying the vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in the union of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ (see Fig. 1).


Fig. 1. The splice graph $\mathcal{S}\left(G_{1}, G_{2} ; u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ and the labeling of its structural details.

A variety of topological indices of splice graphs have been computed already in [ $1,3,19,21]$. In this paper we aim at continuing work along the same lines, for a few additional indices. Note that the proof techniques in this article are based on those used in the recent work [20], in which bridge graphs have been examined.

## 2 Main Results

In this section, we compute the Szeged, edge-Szeged, edge- $P I$, vertex- $P I$, and eccentric connectivity indices of the above described splice graph. We first introduce the following structural parameters of $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}\left(G_{1}, G_{2} ; u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$. Let $i=1,2$ and $f=x y \in E_{i}$, and

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
n_{x}^{i}(f)=\left|N\left(f, x, G_{i}\right)\right| & , & n_{y}^{i}(f)=\left|N\left(f, y, G_{i}\right)\right| \\
n_{x}(f)=|N(f, x, \mathcal{S})| & , \quad n_{y}(f)=|N(f, y, \mathcal{S})| \\
m_{x}^{i}(f)=\left|M\left(f, x, G_{i}\right)\right| & , \quad m_{y}^{i}(f)=\left|M\left(f, y, G_{i}\right)\right| \\
m_{x}(f)=|M(f, x, \mathcal{S})| & , \quad m_{y}(f)=|M(f, y, \mathcal{S})| .
\end{array}
$$

In addition, for a given vertex $u \in V(\mathcal{S})$, let $\varepsilon_{1}(u)$ be the eccentricity of $u$ as a vertex of $G_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}(u)$ the eccentricity of $u$ as a vertex of $G_{2}$, and $\varepsilon(u)$ the eccentricity of $u$ as a vertex of the splice graph $\mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 2.1. Assume that $f=x y \in E_{1}$.
(i) If $u_{1} \in N\left(f, x, G_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
n_{x}(f)=n_{x}^{1}(f)+\left|V_{2}\right| \quad, \quad n_{y}(f)=n_{y}^{1}(f) \\
m_{x}(f)=m_{x}^{1}(f)+\left|E_{2}\right| \quad, \quad m_{y}(f)=m_{y}^{1}(f)
\end{array}
$$

(ii) If $d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{1}, x\right)=d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{1}, y\right)$, then

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
n_{x}(f)=n_{x}^{1}(f) \quad, \quad n_{y}(f)=n_{y}^{1}(f) \\
m_{x}(f)=m_{x}^{1}(f) \quad, \quad m_{y}(f)=m_{y}^{1}(f) .
\end{array}
$$

Analogous relations hold if $f=x y \in E_{2}$.

Proof is easy and is left to the reader.

In order to verify the following propositions we need some preparations. For $i=1,2$, let

$$
S_{i}=\left\{f=x y \in E_{i} \mid d_{G_{i}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)=d_{G_{i}}\left(y, u_{i}\right)\right\} \quad, \quad T_{i}=E_{i} \backslash S_{i}, \quad t_{i}=\left|T_{i}\right|
$$

In addition, for $f=x y \in E_{i}$ define

$$
n^{i}(f)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
n_{x}^{i}(f) & \text { if } & d_{G_{i}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)>d_{G_{i}}\left(y, u_{i}\right) \\
n_{y}^{i}(f) & \text { if } & d_{G_{i}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)<d_{G_{i}}\left(y, u_{i}\right) \\
0 & \text { if } & d_{G_{i}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)=d_{G_{i}}\left(y, u_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
m^{i}(f)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
m_{x}^{i}(f) & \text { if } & d_{G_{i}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)>d_{G_{i}}\left(y, u_{i}\right) \\
m_{y}^{i}(f) & \text { if } & d_{G_{i}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)<d_{G_{i}}\left(y, u_{i}\right) \\
0 & \text { if } & d_{G_{i}}\left(x, u_{i}\right)=d_{G_{i}}\left(y, u_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proposition 2.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S z(\mathcal{S}) & =S z\left(G_{1}\right)+S z\left(G_{2}\right) \\
& +\left|V_{2}\right| \sum_{f=x y \in E_{1}} n^{1}(f)+\left|V_{1}\right| \sum_{f=x y \in E_{2}} n^{2}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
S z(\mathcal{S}) & =\sum_{f=x y \in E_{1}} n_{x}(f) n_{y}(f)+\sum_{f=x y \in E_{2}} n_{x}(f) n_{y}(f) \\
& =\sum_{f=x y \in E_{1}} n_{x}^{1}(f) n_{y}^{1}(f)+\sum_{f=x y \in E_{1}}\left|V_{2}\right| n^{1}(f) \\
& +\sum_{f=x y \in E_{2}} n_{x}^{2}(f) n_{y}^{2}(f)+\sum_{f=x y \in E_{2}}\left|V_{1}\right| n^{2}(f) . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Because for $i=1,2$,

$$
\sum_{f=x y \in E_{i}} n_{x}^{i}(f) n_{y}^{i}(f)=S z\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

from Eq. (2.1) we directly obtain Proposition 2.2.

## Proposition 2.3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S z_{e}(\mathcal{S}) & =S z_{e}\left(G_{1}\right)+S z_{e}\left(G_{2}\right) \\
& +\left|E_{2}\right| \sum_{f=x y \in E_{1}} m^{1}(f)+\left|E_{1}\right| \sum_{f=x y \in E_{2}} m^{2}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
S z_{e}(\mathcal{S}) & =\sum_{f=x y \in E_{1}} m_{x}(f) m_{y}(f)+\sum_{f=x y \in E_{2}} m_{x}(f) m_{y}(f) \\
& =\sum_{f=x y \in E_{1}} m_{x}^{1}(f) m_{y}^{1}(f)+\sum_{f=x y \in E_{1}}\left|E_{2}\right| m^{1}(f) \\
& +\sum_{f=x y \in E_{2}} m_{x}^{2}(f) m_{y}^{2}(f)+\sum_{f=x y \in E_{2}}\left|E_{1}\right| m^{2}(f) . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Because for $i=1,2$,

$$
\sum_{f=x y \in E_{i}} m_{x}^{i}(f) m_{y}^{i}(f)=S z_{e}\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

from Eq. (2.2) we directly obtain Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.4.

$$
P I_{v}(\mathcal{S})=P I_{v}\left(G_{1}\right)+P I_{v}\left(G_{2}\right)+\left(t_{2}+1\right)\left|V_{1}\right|+\left(t_{1}+1\right)\left|V_{2}\right| .
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
P I_{v}(\mathcal{S}) & =\sum_{f=x y \in T_{1}}\left[n_{x}(f)+n_{y}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{1}}\left[n_{x}(f)+n_{y}(f)\right] \\
& +\sum_{f=x y \in T_{2}}\left[n_{x}(f)+n_{y}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{2}}\left[n_{x}(f)+n_{y}(f)\right]+\left[n_{u_{1}}(e)+n_{u_{2}}(e)\right] \\
& =\sum_{f=x y \in T_{1}}\left[n_{x}^{1}(f)+\left|V_{2}\right|+n_{y}^{1}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{1}}\left[n_{x}^{1}(f)+n_{y}^{1}(f)\right] \\
& +\sum_{f=x y \in T_{2}}\left[n_{x}^{2}(f)+\left|V_{1}\right|+n_{y}^{2}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{2}}\left[n_{x}^{2}(f)+n_{y}^{2}(f)\right]+\left(\left|V_{1}\right|+\left|V_{2}\right|\right) \\
& =\sum_{f=x y \in T_{1}}\left[n_{x}^{1}(f)+n_{y}^{1}(f)\right]+t_{1}\left|V_{2}\right|+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{1}}\left[n_{x}^{1}(f)+n_{y}^{1}(f)\right] \\
& +\sum_{f=x y \in T_{2}}\left[n_{x}^{2}(f)+n_{y}^{2}(f)\right]+t_{2}\left|V_{1}\right|+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{2}}\left[n_{x}^{2}(f)+n_{y}^{2}(f)\right] \\
& +\left(\left|V_{1}\right|+\left|V_{2}\right|\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Because for $i=1,2$,

$$
\sum_{f=x y \in T_{i}}\left[n_{x}^{i}(f)+n_{y}^{i}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{i}}\left[n_{x}^{i}(f)+n_{y}^{i}(f)\right]=\sum_{f=x y \in E_{i}}\left[n_{x}^{i}(f)+n_{y}^{i}(f)\right]=P I_{v}\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

from Eq. (2.3) we directly obtain Proposition 2.4.

## Proposition 2.5.

$$
P I(\mathcal{S})=P I\left(G_{1}\right)+P I\left(G_{2}\right)+t_{2}\left|E_{1}\right|+t_{1}\left|E_{2}\right| .
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P I(\mathcal{S}) & =\sum_{f=x y \in T_{1}}\left[m_{x}(f)+m_{y}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{1}}\left[m_{x}(f)+m_{y}(f)\right] \\
& +\sum_{f=x y \in T_{2}}\left[m_{x}(f)+m_{y}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{2}}\left[m_{x}(f)+m_{y}(f)\right]+\left[m_{u_{1}}(e)+m_{u_{2}}(e)\right] \\
& =\sum_{f=x y \in T_{1}}\left[m_{x}^{1}(f)+\left|E_{2}\right|+m_{y}^{1}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{1}}\left[m_{x}^{1}(f)+m_{y}^{1}(f)\right] \\
& +\sum_{f=x y \in T_{2}}\left[m_{x}^{2}(f)+\left|E_{1}\right|+m_{y}^{2}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{2}}\left[m_{x}^{2}(f)+m_{y}^{2}(f)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{f=x y \in T_{1}}\left[m_{x}^{1}(f)+m_{y}^{1}(f)\right]+t_{1}\left|E_{2}\right|+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{1}}\left[m_{x}^{1}(f)+m_{y}^{1}(f)\right] \\
& +\sum_{f=x y \in T_{2}}\left[m_{x}^{2}(f)+m_{y}^{2}(f)\right]+t_{2}\left|E_{1}\right|+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{2}}\left[m_{x}^{2}(f)+m_{y}^{2}(f)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because for $i=1,2$,

$$
\sum_{f=x y \in T_{i}}\left[m_{x}^{i}(f)+m_{y}^{i}(f)\right]+\sum_{f=x y \in S_{i}}\left[m_{x}^{i}(f)+m_{y}^{i}(f)\right]=\sum_{f=x y \in E_{i}}\left[m_{x}^{i}(f)+m_{y}^{i}(f)\right]=P I\left(G_{i}\right)
$$

from Eq. (2.4) we directly obtain Proposition 2.5.

## Proposition 2.6.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E c c(\mathcal{S}) & =\sum_{x \in V_{1}} \operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{S}}(x) \cdot \max \left\{d_{G_{1}}\left(x, u_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\left(u_{2}\right), \varepsilon_{1}(x)\right\} \\
& +\sum_{y \in V_{2}} \operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{S}}(y) \cdot \max \left\{d_{G_{2}}\left(y, u_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), \varepsilon_{2}(y)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider a vertex $x$ of the splice graph $\mathcal{S}$, such that $x \in V_{1}$. Let $z$ be the vertex of $\mathcal{S}$ whose distance to $x$ is maximal. Thus, $\varepsilon(x)=d_{\mathcal{S}}(x, z)$. If $z$ belongs to the graph $G_{1}$, then $\varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon_{1}(x)$. If $z$ belongs to the graph $G_{2}$, then the distance between $x$ and $z$ is equal to $d_{G_{1}}\left(x, u_{1}\right)+d_{G_{2}}\left(u_{2}, z\right)$, see Fig. 1. In addition, $z$ must be the vertex at greatest distance from $u_{2}$. Consequently, $\varepsilon(x)=d_{G_{1}}\left(x, u_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)$. This means that no matter where the vertex $z$ is located,

$$
\varepsilon(x)=\max \left\{d_{G_{1}}\left(x, u_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\left(u_{2}\right), \varepsilon_{1}(x)\right\}
$$

holds for any vertex $x \in V_{1}$. The formula for $\varepsilon(y)$ in the case when $y \in V_{2}$ is fully analogous.

Proposition 2.6 follows now from the definition of the eccentric connectivity index, Eq. (1.2).
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